

United States Patent and Trademark Office

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FIL | ING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/786,419 | 02/26/2004 | | Michiharu Ohta | Q79999 2816 | |
| 23373 | 7590 | 01/12/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| SUGHRUE N | MION, P | PLLC | UNELUS, ERNEST | | |
| 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| WASHINGTO | N, DC | 20037 | 2828 | | |

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/786,419 | OHTA, MICHIHARU | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Ernest Unelus | 2828 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated the second will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE! | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Fe | | | | | | | |
| , | , — | | | | | | |
| • | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 26 February 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | e: a) \square accepted or b) \square objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 02/26/2004. | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/786,419

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lin et al. (US pat. 6,097,741).

With respect to claims 1 and 2, Lin discloses a mode-locked fiber laser comprising a pair of reflectors (113 and 126), an amplifying fiber (130) disposed as laser medium between said reflectors and having a waveguide, and a saturable absorber (125) disposed between one of said reflectors and one end said amplifying fiber, wherein at least the end face of the waveguide at one end of said amplifying fiber is enveloped with said saturable absorber (see fig. 4), and one of said reflectors (126) formed shape having the focusing point matched on the end face of the waveguide at one end side of said amplifying fiber (fig. 4D), incorporates said saturable absorber (125), and is fixed at one end side said amplifying fiber (see fig. 4D).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,419

Art Unit: 2828

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin et al. (US pat. 6,097,741) in view of Harter et al. (US pat. 6034975).

With respect to claim 3, Lin discloses everything claimed above without specifically disclosing an in-line fiber Faraday rotator. An in-line fiber Faraday rotator is well taught by Harter (see fig. 1).). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Lin's invention with Harter to compensate for polarization drifts in the cavity, as disclosed by Harter (see col. 2, lines 9-10).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Harter et al. (US pat. 6034975) discloses a mode-locked fiber laser comprising a pair of reflectors (42 and 38), an amplifying fiber (10) disposed as laser medium between said reflectors and having a waveguide, and a saturable absorber (34) disposed between one of said reflectors and one end said amplifying fiber. Harter fail to disclose wherein at least the end face of the waveguide at one end of said amplifying fiber is enveloped with said saturable absorber and the reflector.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ernest Unelus whose telephone number is 571-272-8596. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am to 5pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,419

Art Unit: 2828

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minsun Harvey can be reached on 571-272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Minsun Harvey Supervisor

Art Unit 2828

- 11